MANAGING A MULTI-GENERATIONAL WORKFORCE: THE MYTHS VS. THE REALITIES

“In a study of 2500 executives from across the world, almost a quarter rated ‘intergenerational cohesion’ as the most significant risk their company faced. Many more rated it as one of the top three risks.”

LYNDA GRATTON, FUTURE OF WORK CONSORTIUM, 2011

A lot has been written about the intergenerational conflict brewing in our workplaces. With five generations in the workplace (more than ever before), many researchers see an atmosphere characterized by tension and misunderstanding. So it’s not surprising that organizations are concerned about the impact of this tension on productivity and creativity.

BUT HOW MUCH OF THIS DIFFERENCE IS REAL?

We’ve analyzed data from over 5 million employees across the world. Using multiple sources from across different aspects of the work environment, our data enables comparisons across different generations. There are so many different ways of cutting it, but typically the generations fall into five main categories. For the purpose of this study, we’ve defined them as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Values and Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditionalists</td>
<td>1928 – 1944</td>
<td>Value authority and a top-down management approach; hard working; ‘be heroic’; ‘make do or do without’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby Boomers</td>
<td>1945 – 1964</td>
<td>Expect some degree of deference to their opinions; workaholics; ‘be anything you want to be’; ‘eternal youth – retirement as freedom’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation X</td>
<td>1965 – 1979</td>
<td>Comfortable with authority; want to be listened to; will work as hard as is needed; ‘don’t count on it’; ‘take care of yourself’; importance of work life balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Y</td>
<td>1980 – 1994</td>
<td>Respect must be earned. ‘You are special’; ‘achieve now’; technologically savvy; goal and achievement oriented. ‘Slacker generation’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Z</td>
<td>1995+</td>
<td>Many traits still to emerge. Digital natives, fast decision makers, highly connected.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Tolbize, 2008)
“Generation Y are the least positive of all the generations.”

The Big Dipper

We’ve found a surprising trend when it comes to positivity in the workplace. Generation Z rate their experiences and expectations very positively. But these rapidly decline, with Generation Y the least positive of all the generations. As age increases, perceptions and experiences improve, reaching their zenith as people prepare for retirement.

So Why is This?

Let’s look at three hypotheses.

Hypothesis 1: Blame Generation Y – they’re too demanding

Generations are exposed to a specific set of environmental forces which produce a unique set of distinctive characteristics for that generation. So the dip could be attributed to the specific characteristics of Generation Y. Labelled the ‘me me me generation’ (from Time’s memorable cover in 2003), a lot has been written about Gen Y’s self-centered nature and high expectations. Coddled by their parents and growing up with a background of economic volatility, they’re ‘used to having it all their own way’. Yet, they are also highly independent, enabled by unprecedented access to technology and education. In contrast, Generation Z are seen as more realistic. Having grown up amid greater violence and economic difficulties, they are being described as more resilient and pragmatic than their Y cousins. So the dramatic dip in positivity levels in the workplace could be attributed to the difference between the realistic expectations of Gen Z and the unrequited optimism of Gen Y.

However… whilst Generation Y has the lowest positivity rating in the workplace, Generation X and the Baby Boomers are not far behind. Also, we’re looking at global data here. Defining experiences shared by generations may be different across countries and cultures, diluting the impact of any generational effects. This suggests that generational differences do not account for the difference in expectations.

Hypothesis 2: Blame the workplace – it’s not equipped for the 21st century

Approaches to education have dramatically shifted over the past 20 years, with much greater emphasis on team working, enabling individual achievement and technology. But have we seen the same degree of change in the workplace? Indeed, technology allows us to work anywhere, yet many people remain bound to their desks. Our findings revealed that organizations and leaders are creating an environment that fails to meet the needs of most of the employees in the workplace. The workplace is only just starting to respond to the ‘megatrends’ that we’re seeing appear, such as digitalization, globalization and value pluralism. While there are some variations across generations, these factors are influencing all age groups.

However…this doesn’t fully account for the way in which current influences are impacting different generations. While Generation Z – the digital natives – may be better equipped for today’s workplace thanks to changes in the education system, what explains the more positive perceptions of the Traditionalists? This suggests that period differences do not account for the differences.
Hypothesis 3: Blame career stages – people want different things at different ages

Career theories suggest that we look for different things at different stages of our career. According to Super’s life-span theory of careers, Generation Z is currently exploring vocational preferences; Generation Y is establishing themselves in a secure niche and looking for advancement; Generation X is aiming to maintain their career achievements; whilst Baby Boomers are focused on expanding their sources of satisfaction. The differences in the expectations of our generations better reflect changes in perceptions and expectations due to age and career stage rather than generations.

Age differences therefore seem to be the best explanation of the data. Different generations have different career goals, from young people coming into the workplace to those preparing to exit. Yet it is clear that more can be done to manage entry and socialization of young people into the workplace. According to ACAS, early work experiences are core determinants of career outcomes. We need to set our young people up for success by better managing the transition from ‘testing out different options’ to ‘establishing a chosen career’.

Leadership: what different generations want from their boss

Five themes emerge as being most important to people, regardless of age.

1. **Focus on customers and external stakeholders**
2. **Focus on execution**
3. **Teamwork**
4. **Decision making**
5. **Planning and organizing**

These priorities reflect the challenges of managing in today’s matrixed and network organizations. A deft blend of drive, working with others and external focus is seen to be key to leadership success.

But what about perceptions for the future? Generation X and Baby Boomers believe there will be greater emphasis on leading innovation, global leadership and collaboration. Yet younger generations believe there will be a greater value placed on technical competence, decisive leadership and, with Generation Z, ‘becoming a dominant leader’. This seems counter-intuitive: wouldn’t you think the younger, more connected generations would place greater value on innovation and collaboration? Yet the findings reflect the typical pathway of a leader as they progress in their career. Initial success comes from developing and demonstrating your expertise. Doing it right often gets you promoted, where the challenge is typically about coordinating effort across individuals and managing processes. Having mastered management, some individuals move on to take leadership roles, with an emphasis on creating purpose and defining the future.

So the ‘generational differences’ in the data are more likely to reflect the stage of development of employees as opposed to their generation. With more experience of the workplace and more leaders in these generations, Baby Boomers and Generation X are likely to have more insight into the challenges of leadership. For Generations Y and Z their view of the future is shaped by their perception of the next challenge for them, which is likely to be becoming a manager.
LEADERS DON'T NEED TO DEVELOP GENERATION SPECIFIC SKILLS. THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO ADAPT LEADERSHIP STYLES TO SUIT THE INDIVIDUAL.

GENERATIONAL DIFFERENCE: MYTH OR REALITY?

Given some of our findings, we decided to put some specific hypotheses to the test. Are these common perceptions myth or reality?

1. Younger generations look to their leaders to provide meaning and purpose to their work. They look for a ‘sense of fulfilment’.

Myth: “Engaging people in the purpose of the organization” only emerges as being strongly valued in leaders by people over the age of 55. Although Generation Y (compared to all other generations) are least impressed by leaders’ efforts to connect people with projects that are personally meaningful to them.

2. Organizations need to use different approaches to retain the younger generations. Some research suggests younger generations are less loyal and more willing to change (Smola and Sutton, 2002; Deal, 2007; Anatole, 2013); and that a work-life balance is more important than career progression (PWC, Next Gen study, 2013).

Myth: In our data, all generations cite the same attribute as the primary reason for staying at their company – exciting and challenging work. The second and third most important features that keep people in an organization are ‘opportunities to advance’ and ‘autonomy/freedom’. At 55+, ‘meaningful work’ replaces advancement opportunities. But autonomy and freedom remain important, regardless of age.

3. Each generation needs to be managed differently in order to keep them engaged and motivated. Because of the diverse needs of each generation, leaders should adopt different leadership skills for different generations.

Myth: We have seen a distinct pattern emerge when looking at the experiences and expectations of different generations in the workplace. However, when it comes to what people are looking for from their actual leaders, there are very few differences.

Our organizational climate survey measures what people want from their leader versus what they are currently getting. From the degree of clarity required to a desire for innovation, it seems people are people, regardless of generation or age. Our data found that what we look for from our leaders remains fairly constant across generations. So leaders don’t need to develop ‘generation specific’ skills. They should be able to flex and adapt leadership styles to the needs of each individual. We don’t define people and their needs at work by gender or cultural background, and similarly it seems there is little evidence for making assumptions about the kind of work environment an employee wants based on their age.

Leaders who are best at engaging and motivating their teams – and who get higher performance as a result – draw on a range of different leadership styles. They have the self-awareness to adapt their leadership style to the person and situation.

EXPECTATIONS OF IMMEDIATE WORK ENVIRONMENT

Average across all climate characteristics, by generation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEN Z</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN Y</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN X</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BABY BOOMERS</td>
<td>3.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADITIONALISTS</td>
<td>3.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SO WHERE TO NEXT FOR MULTI-GENERATIONAL WORKPLACES?

There’s no denying that we’re all different and shaped by our experiences. The rise of technology has affected all of us, but younger generations have had their education and home life shaped by technology in a way that older generations haven’t. Each of us changes and develops in response to the ongoing change in the world around us. The best leaders will continue to use empathy and insight into the unique attributes and expectations of each person to create an environment that gets the best from everyone, regardless of age.

Make sure you’re managing different generations in the best way by:

1. Creating an open environment. “Generational conflict is more likely to arise from errors of attribution and perception than from valid differences.” (Zemke et al, 2000). Creating climates that truly embrace diversity and promote mutual understanding will help to overcome any perceived differences. Encouraging an environment where people can talk openly about their specific needs creates a workspace where everyone feels valued. This is more likely to come from dialogue than policy.

2. Equipping leaders with a broad range of leadership styles. Leaders who are best at engaging and motivating their teams – and who get higher performance as a result – draw on a range of styles. Measure the range of styles your leaders use and help them to improve their impact.

3. Managing the plunge. Can you do more to maintain the initial high expectations that younger generations bring to the workplace? Do your onboarding and socialization programs help young people adjust as they start to establish themselves in their chosen career? Measure the potential of younger generations and support them to develop emotional and social skills to navigate the workplace.

WANT TO KNOW MORE?

Speak to Hay Group about how our leadership development services can help your organization. Visit us at www.haygroup.com

THE DATA

We’ve used Hay Group data from a range of sources:

Best Companies for Leaders: a global study collecting data about leaders in the workplace, now and in the future, in terms of developing them, valuing them and harnessing them. The data from our 2014 survey included around 18,000 participants from 2,100 organizations.

Insight database: Hay Group’s employee survey database contains over five million participants from across the world and their perceptions of their work environment. We used data from the past five years.

Organizational Climate Survey: this 180° survey gathers data on expectations of employees from their immediate leader and work environment and gauges the ability of the leader to create the conditions for high performance and motivation. Our sample includes data from 57,600 participants collected in the last three years.
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